



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,935	03/18/2004	Douglas J. Ranalli	N004/7001US2	5158

21127 7590 01/16/2009
RISSMAN JOBSE HENDRICKS & OLIVERIO, LLP
100 Cambridge Street
Suite 2101
BOSTON, MA 02114

EXAMINER

WENDELL, ANDREW

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2618

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/16/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/802,935	Applicant(s) RANALLI ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW WENDELL	Art Unit 2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW WENDELL. (3) Douglas Ranalli.

(2) Quochien Vuong. (4) Therese Hendricks.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Maggenti et al. (US 2003/0012149) and Szurkowski (US 6,417,933).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed applicant's invention and how applicant's invention overcomes the prior art of record (Maggenti and Szurkowski). Talked about proposed claim amendments to overcome the prior art of record. Applicant will be submitting a supplemental amendment for continuation filed 1/6/2009 and examiner will further consider and search new limitations in the proposed claims..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Quochien B Vuong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Andrew Wendell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618
---	---